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Abstract

The sine and cosine functions used as the bases in Fourier analysis are very smooth (infinitely differentiable) and very broad (nonzero almost everywhere on the real line) and hence they are not effective for representing functions that change abruptly (jumps) or have highly localized support (diffusive). In response to this shortcoming, there has been intense interest in recent years in a new type of basis functions called wavelets. A given wavelet basis is generated from a single function, called a mother wavelet or scaling function, by dilation and translation. By replicating the mother wavelet at many different scales, it is possible to mimic the behavior of any function; this property of wavelets is called multiresolution. Wavelet is a powerful integral transform technique for studying many problems including financial derivatives such as options. Moreover, the approximation error is much smaller than that of the truncated Fourier expansion. Therefore, one can get better approximation of a function at jump discontinuity with the use of wavelet expansion rather than Fourier expansion.

In the current study, we employ wavelet analysis to option pricing problem manifested as partial differential equation (PDE) with jump characteristics. We have used wavelets to develop an optimum finite differencing of the differential equations manifested by financial models. In particular, we apply wavelet optimized finite-difference (WOFD) technique on the partial differential equation. We describe how Lagrangian polynomial is used to approximate the partial derivatives on an irregular grid. We then describe how to determine sparse and dense grid with wavelets. Further work on implementation is going on.

1. Introduction

Recently the subject of “wavelet analysis” has drawn much attention from both mathematicians and engineers alike. Many studies [4, 5, 7] have emerged applying
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wavelets to multitude of situations, and all seem to report favorable results. Broadly
defined, a wavelet is simply a wavy function carefully constructed as to have certain
mathematical properties. An entire set of wavelets is constructed from a single wavelet
called “mother wavelet” function and this set provides useful building block functions
that can be used to describe any in a large class of functions. Roughly speaking, wavelet
analysis is a refinement of Fourier analysis. The Fourier transform is a method of
describing an input function in terms of its frequency components. The frequency
analysis using the Fourier decomposition of a function becomes “scale analysis” using
wavelets. This means that it is possible to examine features of the function of any size by
adjusting a scaling parameter in the analysis. With the wavelet analysis it is very easy to
reveal the position of a function where the function is discontinuous. Because of the way
wavelets work, the approximation error is much smaller than that of the truncated Fourier
expansion and very significantly localized at the point of discontinuity. So we get better
approximation of a function at discontinuity when we use wavelet expansion rather
Fourier expansion. The usefulness of the wavelet transform technique is evident from the
large number of fields to which it has been applied. Wavelet based techniques to solve
partial differential equations (PDE) are a relatively new area of research; they have been
discussed in papers by Dempster and Eswaran [12], Siddiqi and Manchanda [9],
Jameson [1,2,3], Nielson [6]. This paper focuses on the methodology to the solution of
Burger’s Equation in Wavelet Optimized Finite Difference Method (WOFD). We closely
follow the work reported in [6] for the fundamentals and then apply the methodology to
option pricing problem.

The rest of the paper is presented as follows. In section 2 we introduce the simplest
wavelets, the Haar wavelets. We also introduce the basic concepts such as wavelet
transforms and multiresolution analysis for the sake of completion. We then introduce
Daubecheies wavelets, which have played a key role in the explosion of activity of
wavelet analysis. In section 3 we discuss two of our recent studies on option pricing using
fast Fourier transform and solving Black-Scholes equation using Pade approximation. In
section 4 we explain how the wavelet transform framework can be used to solve PDEs
especially Burger’s type equation that manifests an option pricing problem using wavelet
optimized finite difference (WOFD) technique. We first describe how Lagrangian
polynomial is used to approximate derivatives on an irregular grid. We then describe how
to determine sparse and dense grids with wavelets. The implementation work is
continuing. In section 5 we briefly describe our expectation on the implementation
results for the solution of Burgers type equation. Finally, in section 6 we conclude our
current study with a brief discussion on further work.

2. Wavelet Transform

In this section we shall introduce the basic concepts related to the Harr transform which
is the basic or other wavelets like Daub 4 Wavelet. We will discuss the later wavelet
transform in the subsequent subsection.

2.1. Harr Transform
Let us consider a function \( f = (f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_N) \) where \( N \) is a positive even integer which we refer to as the length of \( f \). The values of \( f \) are the \( N \) real numbers \( f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_N \). The Harr transform decomposes a discrete function into two sub-functions of half of its length. One sub-function is a running average or trend; the other sub-function is a running difference or fluctuation.

The first trend sub-function \( a^1 = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{N/2}) \) is computed by taking a running average as \( a_m = \frac{f_{2m-1} + f_{2m}}{\sqrt{2}} \) for \( m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N/2 \). The other sub-function is called first fluctuation, \( d^1 = (d_1, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_{N/2}) \) is computed by taking a running difference as: \( d_m = \frac{f_{2m-1} - f_{2m}}{\sqrt{2}} \) for \( m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N/2 \). The Harr transform is performed in several stages or levels. The first level is the mapping \( H_1 \) defined by a discrete function to its first trend \( a^1 \) and first fluctuation \( d^1 \). That is,

\[
f \xrightarrow{H_1} (a^1 \mid d^1)
\]

The inverse of \( H_1 \) maps the transformed function \((a^1\mid d^1)\) back into the original function \( f \) via the following formula:

\[
f = \left( \frac{a_1 + d_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{a_1 - d_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \ldots, \frac{a_{N/2} + d_{N/2}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{a_{N/2} - d_{N/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right).
\]

### 2.2. Harr Wavelets

The scalar product \( f \cdot g \) of the functions \( f=(f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_n) \) and \( g=(g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_n) \) is defined by \( f \cdot g = (f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \ldots + f_ng_n) \). The 1-level Haar wavelets are defined as

\[
W_1^1 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \right)
\]

\[
W_2^1 = \left( 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \right)
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
W_{N/2}^1 = \left( 0, 0, \ldots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)
\]

Using 1-level Haar wavelets, we can express the values for the first fluctuation sub function \( d^1 \) as scalar products \( d_m = f \cdot W_m^1 \). Next, 1-level Haar Scaling function is defined as

\[
V_1^1 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \right)
\]
\[
V^1_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0,0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \ldots, 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
V^1_{N/2} = \begin{pmatrix}
0,0, \ldots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Using 1-level Haar scaling function, we can express the values for the first trend sub function \(a_1\) as scalar products \(a_m = f.V^1_m\)

2.3. Multiresolution Analysis

From the previous section it is clear that a function is synthesized by starting with a very low resolution function and successively adding on details to create higher resolution versions and ending up with a complete synthesis of the original function at the finest resolution. This is known as multiresolution analysis (MRA). MRA is the heart of wavelet analysis. A function can be expressed by the sum of two functions: a first averaged signal and a first detailed signal as \(f = A^1 + D^1\). Using Haar scaling function and wavelets, the averaged and detail functions can be expressed as

\[
A^1 = a_i V^1_i + a_2 V^1_2 + \ldots + a_{N/2} V^1_{N/2}
\]

\[
D^1 = d_i W^1_i + d_2 W^1_2 + \ldots + d_{N/2} W^1_{N/2}
\]

Applying the scalar product formulas for the coefficients, we can write these two formulas as follows:

\[
A^1 = (f.V^1_1)V^1_1 + (f.V^1_2)V^1_2 + \ldots + (f.V^1_{N/2})V^1_{N/2}
\]

\[
D^1 = (f.W^1_1)W^1_1 + (f.W^1_2)W^1_2 + \ldots + (f.W^1_{N/2})W^1_{N/2}
\]

The second level of a MRA of a function \(f\) involves expressing \(f\) as \(f = A^2 + D^2 + D^1\) where, \(A^2\) is the second averaged function and \(D^2\) is the second detail function

\[
A^2 = (f.V^2_1)V^2_1 + (f.V^2_2)V^2_2 + \ldots + (f.V^2_{N/4})V^2_{N/4}
\]

\[
D^2 = (f.W^2_1)W^2_1 + (f.W^2_2)W^2_2 + \ldots + (f.W^2_{N/4})W^2_{N/4}
\]

In general, if the number \(N\) of function values is divisible \(k\) times into two sub functions then a \(k\)-level MRA: \(f = A^k + D^k + \ldots + D^2 + D^1\)

2.4. Daub4 Wavelets

The Daubechies wavelet transforms are defined in the same way as the Haar wavelet transform but the main difference is in the scaling function and in wavelets. Both the wavelets and scaling function has longer support that is with few more values they produce averages and differences. There are many Daubechies transforms, but all are very similar. We here just concentrate on the Daub4 wavelet as it is simplest one as well as it is used in WOFD technique for the solution of partial differential equation.
The 1-level Daub4 transform is the mapping \( f \overset{D_0}{\rightarrow} (a^1 \mid d^1) \) from the function \( f \) to its first trend sub function \( a^1 \) and first fluctuation sub function \( d^1 \). Each value \( a_m \) of \( a^1=(a_1, \ldots, a_{N/2}) \) is equal to a scalar product: \( a_m = f. V_m^1 \). Similarly each value \( d_m \) is equal to a scalar product of \( f \) with a 1-level wavelet \( W_m^1 \). The scaling numbers \([7]\) \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \) and wavelet numbers \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4 \) are defined by

\[
\alpha_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{1-\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}
\]

\[
\beta_1 = \frac{1-\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad \beta_4 = \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{2}}
\]

Using these numbers the scaling function and wavelets are defined by

\[
V_1^1 = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)
\]
\[
V_2^1 = (0, 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
v_{N/2}^1 = (\alpha_3, \alpha_4, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)
\]

\[
W_1^1 = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)
\]
\[
W_2^1 = (0, 0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
W_{N/2}^1 = (\beta_3, \beta_4, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, \beta_1, \beta_2)
\]

Let us define \( D_N \) to be matrix such that the rows of \( D_N \) are the first-level Daub4 scaling function and wavelets.

\[
D_N = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The Equation shows that the rows of \( D_N \) form an orthonormal set of vectors. That is, \( D_N \) is an orthogonal matrix. Comparing the definition of the matrix \( D_N \) and the definition of the 1-level Daub4 transform we see that \( (a_1, d_1, a_2, d_2, \ldots, a_{N/2}, d_{N/2})^T = D_N f^T \).

### 3. Option pricing using FFT and Pade Approximation

In this section we briefly describe two methodologies in option pricing. Experience acquired through the use of fast Fourier transform for option pricing and the use of Pade
approximation in reducing the severity of the mathematics behind the finance models for option pricing has been a driving force and motivation for the current study. In other words, these two studies are precursors to the current study using wavelets. While the FFT has limitations as mentioned before but could be easy to understand, limitations of the use of Padé scheme stems from the requirement of fundamental mathematical knowledge on the approximation theory, which may not be that easy for a finance practitioner. Hence we resort to the wavelet transform in this study. However, we present some fundamental background of these two methodologies

3.1. Fast Fourier Transform for Option Pricing

Since the sine and cosine functions used as the bases in Fourier analysis are very smooth (infinitely differentiable) and very broad (nonzero almost everywhere on the real line), they are not very effective for representing functions that change abruptly (jumps) or have highly localized support (diffusive). Moreover, the approximation error is generally large due to truncation of Fourier expansion. However, in one of the recent studies [13], this truncation error is avoided to a large extent. The computational cost of longer Fourier expansion is handled by developing a parallel algorithm for the study.

Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) has been used in many scientific and engineering applications. In the recent study [13], the FFT has been applied for a novel application in finance. Based on a previous study [14] the authors have improved a mathematical model of Fourier transform technique proposed in [15] for pricing financial derivatives so as to help design an effective and efficient parallel algorithm. They have then developed a new parallel algorithm for FFT using a swapping technique that exploits data locality [13]. They have also analyzed their algorithm theoretically and have reported the significance of the new algorithm. This algorithm was implemented on a 20 node SunFire-6800 high performance computing system and compared the new algorithm with the traditional Cooley-Tukey [16] FFT algorithm both as stand alone comparison of the performance and in relation to our theoretical analysis and showed higher efficiency of the new algorithm. The computation of the longer Fourier expansion not only reduces the error bounds inherent with Fourier transform but use high performance algorithm reduces the time required to do the computation to a very large extent.

3.2. Padé Approximation

Option pricing is one of the prominent and challenging problems in computational finance. Various explicit and implicit schemes were developed for the option pricing problem. Explicit methods usually need less computation because it does not entail solving a set of linear equations at each time step. Implicit methods, on the other hand, have better stability and convergence properties but are computationally more demanding. Many of the schemes used in finance are first order in time. Courtedon [17] developed a second order accurate finite difference method for valuing options. For simplest problems, one can generally transform the Black-Scholes model to the simple heat equation for which well developed solution schemes are available. Mayo [18] developed a fourth order method in the log of asset prices to evaluate American options.
The readers are directed to the book [19] for further information on finite-differencing for financial derivatives.

In general, it is often difficult to reduce the mathematical severity of the finance model to a simpler form. Towards this perspective, a recent study [20] has developed a second order \( L_0 \) stable discrete parallel algorithm using \( Pade \) approximation for experimentation towards high performance architectures. This algorithm is suitable for more complicated option pricing problems although in [20, 21] the authors have applied to the Black-Scholes model. For simulation purposes, the authors have implemented this algorithm and evaluated the European options. Numerical results are compared with those obtained using other commonly used numerical methods and shown that the new algorithm is robust and efficient than the traditional schemes.

A popular finite-difference method for solving PDEs is the Crank-Nicholson method which is based on (1,1) \( Pade \) approximation. Higher order \( Pade \) approximation gives higher accuracy in time. However, this could lead to higher computational complexity. Therefore, a balance of accuracy and available resources dictated our selection of (2,0) \( Pade \) to illustrate the higher order finite difference method in solving the option pricing problems. This is a new contribution in the use of \( Pade \) approximation to the field of computational finance in [20]. Moreover, the (2,0) method is \( L_0 \)-stable, and hence it has better stability than the Crank-Nicholson scheme which is only \( A_0 \)-stable. Moreover, with the \( L_0 \)-stability, the error remains bounded, which is not guaranteed in \( A_0 \)-stable algorithms, one of which is Crank-Nicholson scheme. Employing the \( Pade \) scheme to the B-S model leads to [20]

\[
BU(t-k) = U(t) - \frac{l}{2}[BC(t-k) + C(t)], t = 0, k, 2k, ...
\]

where

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
    b_2 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & \beta_4 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{M-1} & c_{M-1} \\
    \end{bmatrix}
\]

\( C(t) = [a, u(0, t), 0, \ldots, 0]^T \) and \( U \) is a vector of asset prices. This discretized form of the Black-Scholes model can be implemented with some careful consideration on the parametric conditions, as described in [20]. The disadvantage of this scheme is the requirement of fundamental knowledge on the approximation theory.

4. Wavelet Optimized Finite-Differencing
In this section we outline an approach to solve a type of partial differential equation with the aid of wavelets. This method is due to Jameson [1,2,3] and is called wavelet optimized finite difference (WOFD) technique. Wavelets provide a perfect mechanism for grid selection where sparse grids are placed in regions of the domain where the activities are smooth (for example in a fluid mechanics problem, where flow is smooth; or in an option pricing problem the underlying asset price behave smoothly) and fine grids are placed in regions of the domain where the activities are chaotic (for example, in fluid mechanics problem, flow features are rough or perhaps highly oscillatory; or in option pricing problem with high frequency underlying asset price behavior). In other words, if a portion of the computational domain of an option pricing problem where asset price behavior is composed of large smooth features, then a high order low grid point density is optimal. If another portion of the same option pricing problem is composed of high frequency features, then optimality is obtained by increasing the density of the grid points and decreasing the order. Before describing the wavelet optimized finite-differencing scheme we describe two other differencing techniques based on interpolation and finite-differencing, which lays foundation for the focus of the current study.

4.1. Generating Difference Equation: Interpolation

For a vector \( f \) of \( N \) numbers, we can get a better approximation of the derivative \( f' \) at \( i^{th} \) point if there are more elements around the \( i^{th} \) point of \( f \). Common finite difference formulas are found by fitting an algebraic polynomial of degree \( q \) locally around the \( i^{th} \) point of a vector \( f \) of evenly spaced elements to obtain difference approximations of accuracy \( q-1 \). Interpolations with algebraic polynomials are probably the most common and popular way to generate differencing coefficients. One simply fits the polynomial to the data, followed by differentiation of the polynomial, and finally one evaluates the polynomial at the point of interest. The well known Lagrange interpolation formula [11] for algebraic interpolation is

\[
P(x) = f(x_0) L_{n,0}(x) + f(x_1) L_{n,1}(x) + \ldots + f(x_n) L_{n,n}(x)
\]

where

\[
L_{n,k}(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1) \ldots (x-x_{k-1})(x-x_{k+1}) \ldots (x-x_{n-1})(x-x_n)}{(x_k-x_0)(x_k-x_1) \ldots (x_k-x_{k-1})(x_k-x_{k+1}) \ldots (x_k-x_{n-1})(x_k-x_n)}
\]

\[= \prod_{i=0,i\neq k}^n \frac{(x-x_i)}{(x_k-x_i)}
\]

for each \( k=0,1,\ldots,n \). Differentiation of the above equation \( d \) times yields

\[
P^d(x) = f(x_0) L_{n,0}^d(x) + f(x_1) L_{n,1}^d(x) + \ldots + f(x_n) L_{n,n}^d(x)
\]

We are interested here with the first and second order derivatives. It can be easily shown that the first and second order derivatives can be found by the following equation.

\[
L_{n,k}^1(x) = \frac{d}{dx} L_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{l=0,l\neq k}^n \prod_{m=0,m\neq k,l}^n \frac{(x-x_m)}{(x_k-x_l)}
\]

\[
L_{n,k}^2(x) = \prod_{i=0,i\neq k}^n (x_k-x_i)
\]
4.2. Generating Difference Equation: finite-differencing

We describe the discretization using finite-differencing here following the steps reported in [21]. Consider the B-S model

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2 S^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial S^2} + rs \frac{\partial u}{\partial S} - ru = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad u \text{ is the option price, } t \text{ is time, } \sigma \text{ is volatility, } S \text{ is the asset price, and } r \text{ is the interest rate.}
\]

By changing the variables, we can reduce the above B-S equation to the diffusion equation. The B-S equation is discretized as follows: A finite number of equally spaced time steps between the current date \((t = 0)\) and the maturity date of the option, \((t = T)\) are chosen. That is, \(\Delta t = T/N\) and the total of \((N + 1)\) times are considered. Similarly, a finite number of equally spaced asset prices \((N_j)\) are also chosen. We suppose that \(S_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum price an asset can reach. We define \(\Delta S = S_{\text{max}} / 2N_j\) and consider a total of \(2N_j + 1\) asset prices including the current asset price. By the above discretization, a grid consisting of a total of \((N + 1)(2N_j + 1)\) points can be constructed [21]. The grid point \((i, j)\) corresponds to time \(i\Delta t\) and price \(j\Delta S\). The variable, \(u(i, j)\) refers to the value of the option at the grid point \((i, j)\). The accuracy of the original system will be dictated by the convective term \(\frac{\partial u}{\partial S}\) rather than the diffusion term \(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial S^2}\). Therefore, central-differencing for the convection term and forward or backward-differencing for the diffusion term would be sufficient. However, if central differencing is applied to the diffusion term as well, the additional cost incurred due to central-differencing could be recovered with the multithreaded implementation of the algorithm [21]. The solution scheme is marched in the time direction until it reaches a steady state. The computed values of the layer \((c-1)\) are used to calculate the values of layer \(c\), the number of computational layers depend on the relative error. The value \(u_{i,j}^c\) denotes the option value at \((i, j)\) grid point in \(c^{th}\) computational time layer. To compute the option values at the \(c^{th}\) layer we use the values from the \((c-1)^{th}\) layer, which can be expressed as

\[
u_{i,j}^c = \rho u_{i+1,j}^{c-1} + (1 - 2\rho)u_{i,j}^{c-1} + \rho u_{i-1,j}^{c-1}.
\]

Here \(\rho = l/h^2\), where \(l\) denotes time step \(\tau\) and \(h\) denotes space step \(x\). If \(\tau\) is from 0 to \(T\) and \(x\) is from \(x_{\text{min}}\) to \(x_{\text{max}}\), then \(N \times l = T\) and \(2N_j \times h = x_{\text{min}} - x_{\text{max}}\). Therefore, the terminal condition \(t = T\) is reformed to the initial condition \(\tau = 0\). The relative err is calculated as \(err = u_{i,j}^c - u_{i,j}^{c-1}\). The computation is stopped once the err falls below a certain preset threshold value.

We employ similar steps in wavelet assisted discretization of the transformed Black-Scholes equation.
4.3. Grid Generation with Wavelets

WOFD technique applies finite difference on a grid which is defined by the magnitude of wavelet coefficients at various scales. That is wavelet can detect oscillations in a function at any location and scale. The function $f(x)$ may be decomposed into a set of wavelet coefficients that depend on two parameters, one for location and one for scale, say $d_k^j$, where $k$ is the location parameter and $j$ is the scale parameter. For example, if coefficient $d_5^2 > \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a user-defined sensitivity threshold, then one can add a grid point at location $x_{20}$, since the wavelet coefficients at scale $j=2$ represent local high frequencies in the physical space at scale $4\Delta x$, i.e., $5*4\Delta x = x_{20}$. $x_i$ represents the numerical value of the $i^{th}$ grid point. One can add more grid points in any region around large wavelet coefficients, and it is more efficient to do so.

4.4. Burgers equation

We now focus on Burgers equation which is frequently used to study adaptive methods because it models formation of shocks. Shocks in a physical phenomenon such as fluid mechanics could be a sudden jump in the flow behavior while in a finance problem it would mean sudden changes in an important parameter such as the underlying asset price. This single equation has terms that closely duplicate the physical properties of many engineering problems such flow equations. For our purpose, Burgers’ equation can be seen a manifestation of, for example, Black-Scholes model. That is, the model equation should have a convective term, a diffusive term, and a time-dependent term (or reaction term). Further discussions in this section are based on the Burgers equation, a convective, diffusive, reactive equation. Black-Scholes model can be transformed into the Burgers’ equation. Burgers (1948) introduced a simple nonlinear equation that meets these requirements [8]

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \mu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

with initial and boundary conditions given by

$$u(x,0) = h(x)$$
$$u(x,t) = u(x+1,t)$$

The equation can be discretized and we get the following system

$$\frac{d}{dt} u(t) = Lu(t) + N(u(t)), t > 0$$

$$u(0) = h \equiv [h(x_0), h(x_1), \ldots, h(x_{N-1})]^T$$

where,

$$L = \mu D^2$$
$$N(u(t)) = -\text{diag}(D^1 u(t))$$
We can use a standard finite difference technique such as Crank-Nicholson [22] for time stepping, though Crank-Nicholson is an $A_0$ stable algorithm as mentioned before.

However, in the current study since we are optimizing the finite-difference by wavelet transform, we presume that the Crank-Nicholson scheme would be sufficient enough in the academic setting. Moreover, we presume that applying Pade approximation to equation (2) would not be difficult.

Applying the Crank-Nicholson scheme to eq.(2) can be written as:

$$\frac{u(t + \Delta t) - u(t)}{\Delta t} = L \left( \frac{u(t + \Delta t) + u(t)}{2} \right) + N \left( \frac{u(t + \Delta t) + u(t)}{2} \right)$$

and we obtain time-stepping procedure

$$Au_{n+1} = Bu_{n} + \Delta N \left( \frac{u_{n+1} + u_{n}}{2} \right) \text{ for } n=0,1,\ldots,n-1$$

$u_0=h$

where, $A = I - \frac{\Delta t}{2} L, B = I + \frac{\Delta t}{2} L$ and $u_n = u(n\Delta t)$

4.5. Algorithm for WOFD Method

1. Initialize model parameters such as domain size (L=1), grid size (N=2^10), diffusion parameter ($\mu = 0.002$) time stepping (dt=0.0009), initial time (time=0).
2. Initialize N sample points (0:h:L-h), h=L/N. Initialize u=sin(2*pi*x)
4. Reconstruct u on the finest grid with the Lagrange Interpolation.
5. Define new grid by wavelet methods
6. Restrict u to new grid (depending on the new points or deleted points selected by wavelet grid evaluator)
7. Update finite difference operators according to new grid.
8. Solve the values of $u_{i+1}$. If we LU decompose A then the solution of the restricted grid can be found
   \[
   \text{temp} = B*u_i - dt(\mu*u)*D^2 \\
   LUu_{i+1} = \text{temp} \\
   U*u_{i+1} = L\backslash\text{temp} \\
   u_{i+1} = U\backslash\text{temp}
   \]
9. Advance time step time=time+dt
10. Do step 3 -9 until time > 0.5.
5. Conclusions

Implementation of the above algorithm is still going on and the preliminary result from solution of the Burgers equation is encouraging. However, at present we do not have substantial results to report.

In this paper we have attempted to apply the wavelet multi-resolution analysis to solve a partial differential equation. For this purpose a well known partial equation known as Burger Equation was chosen and applied wavelet optimized finite difference technique for the solution of this model equation. The main goal for this study is to overcome the difficulties encountered in the fast Fourier transform technique and the classical Pade approximation technique in solving the option pricing problem and to discover the possibility of application of WOFD technique. We are encouraged from our current theoretical endeavor so far and expect to get substantial results in the near future.
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