

# Dynamic Probit models for panel data: A comparison of three methods of estimation

Alfonso Miranda

Keele University and IZA  
(A.Miranda@econ.keele.ac.uk)

2007 UK Stata Users Group meeting

September 10.



- ▶ In a number of contexts researchers have to model a dummy variable  $y_{it}$  that is function of  $y_{i,t-1}$  (unemployment, migration, health).
- ▶ A dynamic probit/logit model is needed.
- ▶ In the dynamic setup  $y_{i0}$  is likely to be correlated with unobserved heterogeneity  $u_i$  affecting  $y_{it}$ .
- ▶ If  $y_{i0}$  is taken as exogenous inconsistent estimators are obtained. This is know as the initial conditions problem.

- ▶ Three methods of estimation have been suggested: Heckman (1981), Orme (1996), and Wooldridge (2002).
- ▶ Heckman's method is computer expensive – not anymore really – while the other two methods are computer inexpensive and easy to implement in conventional econometric software.
- ▶ No study has compared the relative performance of such methods with small and large samples, and with low and high correlation between unobservables affecting initial conditions and dynamic equations.

Heckman suggests to approximate the reduced form of the marginal probability of  $y_{i0}$  given  $u_i$  with a Probit model and to allow free correlation  $\rho$  between  $y_{i0}$  and  $y_{it}$ .

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{z}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \gamma y_{i,t-1} + u_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

$$y_{i0}^* = \mathbf{x}_{i0}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \delta u_i + \eta_{i0} \quad (2)$$

with  $y_{it} = 1$  if  $y_{it}^* > 0$  and zero otherwise.  $u_i$ ,  $\eta_{it}$  and  $\varepsilon_{it}$  are all iid  $N(0, 1)$ . Neither  $\varepsilon_{it}$  nor  $\eta_{it}$  are serially correlated.

- ▶ equations (1) and (2) are estimated as a system.
- ▶ Need to integrate out  $u_i$  against the density  $\phi(u_i)$ .
- ▶ May use ML + Gauss-Hermite quadrature or Maximum Simulated Likelihood.

$$\rho = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2(\delta^2+1)}}$$

Orme suggests a two-step bias corrected procedure that is locally valid when  $\rho$  approximates to zero. Define,

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{z}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \gamma y_{i,t-1} + u_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (3)$$

$$y_{i0}^* = \mathbf{x}_{i0}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \delta u_i + \eta_{i0} \quad (4)$$

- ▶ Notice that in eq. (3)  $E[u_i] = 0$  but  $E[u_i|y_{i0}] \neq 0$  when  $\delta \neq 0$  (that is, when  $\rho \neq 0$ ).
- ▶ Correlation between  $u_i$  and  $y_{i0}$  can be removed by writing:

$$u_i = E[u_i|y_{i0}] + u_i^*$$

so that  $E[u_i^*|y_{i0}] = 0$  by construction.

- ▶ Can use, in a first step, a simple probit model for  $y_{i0}$  to estimate,

$$E[u|y_{i0}] = E[u_i | \delta u_i + \eta_{it} \geq -\mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\theta}] = \frac{\phi(\mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\Phi(\mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\theta})}$$

- ▶ And in a second step estimate the dynamic equation using a standard RE probit that includes  $E[u_i^*|y_{i0}]$  as a regressor,

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \gamma y_{i,t-1} + \sigma E[u_i|y_{i0}] + u_i^* + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (5)$$

- ▶ Orme shows that this two-step procedure is locally valid if  $\rho$  approximates to zero and argues that the method can perform well even if  $\rho$  is 'high'.

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{x}_{it}\beta + \gamma y_{i,t-1} + u_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (6)$$

$$y_{i0}^* = \mathbf{z}_{it}\theta + \delta u_i + \eta_{it} \quad (7)$$

Motivation

3 Methods

 Monte Carlo  
Study

 Simulation  
results

Conclusions

- ▶ Heckman does the following:

$$f(y_{i0}, \dots, y_{iT}) = \int f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | y_{i0}, \mathbf{w}_{it}, u_i) h(y_{i0} | \mathbf{w}_{it}, u_i) g(u_i | \mathbf{w}_{it}) du_i$$

with  $\mathbf{w}_{it} = (\mathbf{x}_{it}, \mathbf{z}_{it})$  and use ML.

- ▶ Wooldridge suggests to model the distribution of  $\{y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT}\}$  given  $y_{i0}$  and to use conditional ML.
- ▶ To do so one needs to specify the distribution for  $u_i$  given  $y_{i0}$  and other exog. variables:

$$f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | y_{i0}) = \int f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | y_{i0}, \mathbf{w}_{it}, u_i) g(u_i | y_{i0}, \mathbf{w}_{it}) du_i$$

- ▶ It is suggested the following approximation

$$g(u_i | y_{i0}, \mathbf{w}_{it}) \sim N(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y_{i0} + \alpha_2 \bar{w}_i, \sigma_v^2)$$

In other words, we can write

$$u_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y_{i0} + \alpha_2 \bar{w}_i + v_i \quad (8)$$

$$v_i \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2) \text{ and independent of } y_{i0}, w_i \quad (9)$$

- ▶ substituting (8) in (6)

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{z}_{it} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \gamma y_{i,t-1} + \alpha_1 y_{i0} + \alpha_2 \bar{w}_i + v_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (10)$$

and estimate (9) by standard RE probit.



The following model is specified:

$$y_{it}^* = 0.5 + 0.5z_{it} - 0.5y_{i,t-1} + u_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (11)$$

$$y_{i0}^* = 1x_{i0} - 1z_{i0} + \delta u_i + \eta_{it} \quad (12)$$

- ▶ Random draws from independent standard normal distributions are taken to generate  $z_{it}$  and  $x_{i0}$ . These variables remain fixed during all simulations.
- ▶ At each replication step random draws from independent standard normal distributions are taken to generate  $u_i, \varepsilon_{it}$  and  $\eta_{it}$ .
- ▶ At each iteration the model is estimated using Heckman (MSL with 400 halton draws), Wooldridge, and Orme methods. Estimates for the dynamic equation are kept.

Motivation

3 Methods

Monte Carlo  
Study

Simulation  
results

Conclusions

- ▶ 1000 replications are taken.
- ▶ Various experiments are done comparing the performance of all these three methods using small, medium, and large samples and low and high  $\rho$ .
- ▶ At the end simulation statistics are calculated:
  - ▶ Average estimator (AE)
  - ▶ Percentage bias (PB)
  - ▶ Average standard error (ASE)
  - ▶ Standard error (SDE)
  - ▶ Mean square error (MSE)
  - ▶ Nominal coverage of 95% confidence intervals (Ncov).

```

Number of panels      = 100
Obs per panel        = 3
Total Number of obs   = 300
Delta                = 0.00
  
```

```

-----
          | AE | PB | ASE | SDE | MSE | Ncov
-----
Heckman Method
   z      .506  1.21  .14  .136  .019  .958
   LDV    -.506 -1.14  .261  .25  .063  .958
   _cons  .51   1.93  .221  .22  .048  .948
Wooldridge Method
   z      .5   .015  .168  .171  .029  .956
   LDV    -.452 9.59  .36  .369  .138  .93
   _cons  .494  1.13  .332  .352  .124  .926
Orme Method
   z      .502  .461  .148  .151  .023  .952
   LDV    -.48  4.08  .352  .355  .127  .931
   _cons  .488 -2.36  .326  .333  .111  .93
-----
  
```

Number of panels = 100  
 Obs per panel = 3  
 Total Number of obs = 300  
 Delta = 1.00

---

|                          | AE    | PB    | ASE  | SDE  | MSE  | Ncov |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| <b>Heckman Method</b>    |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                        | .505  | 1.04  | .136 | .13  | .017 | .966 |
| LDV                      | -.505 | -.969 | .252 | .238 | .057 | .965 |
| _cons                    | .508  | 1.64  | .214 | .213 | .045 | .954 |
| <b>Wooldridge Method</b> |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                        | .417  | -16.6 | .162 | .161 | .033 | .904 |
| LDV                      | -.466 | 6.88  | .371 | .366 | .135 | .945 |
| _cons                    | -.222 | -144  | .267 | .277 | .597 | .232 |
| <b>Orme Method</b>       |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                        | .412  | -17.6 | .118 | .121 | .023 | .835 |
| LDV                      | .162  | 132   | .276 | .334 | .549 | .362 |
| _cons                    | -7e-3 | -101  | .266 | .302 | .348 | .44  |

---

```

Number of panels      = 100
Obs per panel        = 3
Total Number of obs   = 300
Delta                = 10.00
  
```

---

|                          | AE    | PB    | ASE  | SDE  | MSE  | Ncov |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| <b>Heckman Method</b>    |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                        | .509  | 1.78  | .131 | .123 | .015 | .962 |
| LDV                      | -.497 | .525  | .237 | .224 | .05  | .968 |
| _cons                    | .508  | 1.58  | .191 | .199 | .04  | .942 |
| <b>Wooldridge Method</b> |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                        | .474  | -5.16 | .159 | .157 | .025 | .943 |
| LDV                      | -.564 | -12.8 | .421 | .396 | .161 | .932 |
| _cons                    | -.327 | -165  | .182 | .189 | .719 | .022 |
| <b>Orme Method</b>       |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                        | .389  | -22.1 | .101 | .1   | .022 | .799 |
| LDV                      | .558  | 212   | .19  | .223 | 1.17 | 3e-3 |
| _cons                    | -.042 | -108  | .853 | 1.2  | 1.72 | .849 |

---

Number of panels = 300  
 Obs per panel = 3  
 Total Number of obs = 900  
 Delta = 0.00

---

|                          | AE    | PB    | ASE  | SDE  | MSE   | Ncov |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|
| <b>Heckman Method</b>    |       |       |      |      |       |      |
| z                        | .505  | .941  | .077 | .078 | 6e-03 | .948 |
| LDV                      | -.492 | 1.54  | .147 | .142 | .02   | .962 |
| _cons                    | .497  | -.587 | .126 | .12  | .014  | .961 |
| <b>Wooldridge Method</b> |       |       |      |      |       |      |
| z                        | .488  | -2.49 | .09  | .088 | 8e-3  | .947 |
| LDV                      | -.399 | 20.3  | .197 | .205 | .052  | .904 |
| _cons                    | .46   | -7.9  | .185 | .193 | .039  | .928 |
| <b>Orme Method</b>       |       |       |      |      |       |      |
| z                        | .491  | -1.83 | .081 | .082 | 7e-3  | .931 |
| LDV                      | -.436 | 12.8  | .195 | .198 | .043  | .922 |
| _cons                    | .452  | -9.67 | .179 | .18  | .035  | .928 |

---

```

Number of panels      = 300
Obs per panel        = 3
Total Number of obs   = 900
Delta                = 1.00
  
```

---

|                   | AE    | PB    | ASE  | SDE  | MSE  | Ncov |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| <hr/>             |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| Heckman Method    |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .504  | .88   | .075 | .076 | 6e-3 | .948 |
| LDV               | -.493 | 1.34  | .142 | .135 | .018 | .964 |
| _cons             | .497  | -.637 | .122 | .116 | .014 | .964 |
| Wooldridge Method |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .421  | -15.9 | .088 | .089 | .014 | .823 |
| LDV               | -.442 | 11.6  | .21  | .231 | .057 | .938 |
| _cons             | -.225 | -145  | .153 | .153 | .549 | 7e-3 |
| Orme Method       |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .401  | -19.9 | .068 | .07  | .015 | .62  |
| LDV               | .209  | 142   | .167 | .207 | .545 | .112 |
| _cons             | -.048 | -110  | .155 | .177 | .332 | .174 |

---

```

Number of panels      = 300
Obs per panel        = 3
Total Number of obs   = 900
Delta                = 10.00
  
```

---

|                   | AE    | PB    | ASE  | SDE  | MSE  | Ncov |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| <hr/>             |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| Heckman Method    |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .506  | 1.22  | .071 | .07  | 5e-3 | .957 |
| LDV               | -.49  | 2     | .133 | .127 | .016 | .955 |
| _cons             | .497  | -.53  | .109 | .108 | .012 | .949 |
| Wooldridge Method |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .472  | -5.58 | .088 | .086 | 8e-3 | .928 |
| LDV               | -.517 | -3.46 | .267 | .245 | .06  | .924 |
| _cons             | -.33  | -166  | .103 | .1   | .699 | 0    |
| Orme Method       |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .399  | -20.1 | .058 | .06  | .014 | .567 |
| LDV               | .575  | 215   | .109 | .126 | 1.17 | 0    |
| _cons             | -.27  | -154  | .555 | .796 | 1.23 | .58  |

---



Number of panels = 3000  
 Obs per panel = 3  
 Total Number of obs = 9000  
 Delta = 0.00

---

|                   | AE    | PB    | ASE  | SDE  | MSE  | Ncov |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| <hr/>             |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| Heckman Method    |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .5    | -.024 | .023 | .022 | 5e-4 | .962 |
| LDV               | -.501 | -.176 | .046 | .046 | 2e-3 | .951 |
| _cons             | .501  | .134  | .039 | .039 | 1e-3 | .948 |
| Wooldridge Method |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .493  | -1.38 | .028 | .026 | 7e-4 | .959 |
| LDV               | -.464 | 7.23  | .069 | .063 | 5e-3 | .939 |
| _cons             | .483  | -3.3  | .061 | .06  | 4e-3 | .944 |
| Orme Method       |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .493  | -1.48 | .025 | .024 | 6e-4 | .95  |
| LDV               | -.469 | 6.12  | .065 | .059 | 4e-3 | .944 |
| _cons             | .477  | -4.64 | .06  | .055 | 3e-3 | .946 |

---

```

Number of panels      = 3000
Obs per panel        = 3
Total Number of obs   = 9000
Delta                = 1.00
  
```

---

|                   | AE    | PB    | ASE  | SDE  | MSE  | Ncov |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| <hr/>             |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| Heckman Method    |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .5    | .059  | .023 | .021 | 4e-4 | .968 |
| LDV               | -.5   | -.063 | .045 | .044 | 2e-3 | .955 |
| _cons             | .5    | .049  | .038 | .038 | 1e-3 | .951 |
| Wooldridge Method |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .419  | -16.3 | .026 | .03  | 7e-3 | .163 |
| LDV               | -.415 | 16.9  | .062 | .101 | .017 | .486 |
| _cons             | -.218 | -144  | .047 | .047 | .517 | 0    |
| Orme Method       |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .397  | -20.7 | .022 | .025 | .011 | .02  |
| LDV               | .245  | 149   | .06  | .085 | .562 | 0    |
| _cons             | -.081 | -116  | .054 | .07  | .342 | 0    |

---

```

Number of panels      = 3000
Obs per panel        =   3
Total Number of obs   = 9000
Delta                = 10.00
  
```

---

|                   | AE    | PB    | ASE  | SDE  | MSE  | Ncov |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| <hr/>             |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| Heckman Method    |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .501  | .156  | .022 | .02  | 4e-4 | .966 |
| LDV               | -.499 | .294  | .042 | .041 | 2e-3 | .951 |
| _cons             | .499  | -.234 | .034 | .034 | 1e-3 | .945 |
| Wooldridge Method |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .472  | -5.52 | .027 | .026 | 1e-3 | .84  |
| LDV               | -.545 | -8.93 | .095 | .084 | 9e-3 | .938 |
| _cons             | -.328 | -166  | .033 | .033 | .687 | 0    |
| Orme Method       |       |       |      |      |      |      |
| z                 | .403  | -19.4 | .018 | .017 | 8e-3 | 0    |
| LDV               | .571  | 214   | .034 | .04  | 1.15 | 0    |
| _cons             | -.353 | -171  | .149 | .157 | .753 | 0    |

---

- ▶ Heckman's method delivers estimators that are hardly subject to bias and that are estimated with high precision.
- ▶ The methods suggested by Wooldridge and Orme (W&O) deliver estimators that can be subject to substantial bias and low precision.
- ▶ W&O: The bias does not seem to decrease as sample size (number of panels  $n$ ) increases.
- ▶ W&O: The bias increases when  $\rho$  gets higher.
- ▶ Nominal coverage of confidence intervals is satisfactory in Heckman's method but can be extremely bad in the case of W&O when  $\rho$  is high.

Motivation

3 Methods

Monte Carlo  
Study

Simulation  
results

Conclusions

- ▶ Evidence suggest that Heckman's method offers substantial advantages.
- ▶ Today Heckman's method is not really computer expensive anymore (can use MSL and BHHH algorithm to speed the process).